





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			Limmoniumichoguemica	CONTROL A TIONANO
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,698	12/07/2001	Robert Steven Miller	14374.64	5706
7:	590 10/01/2003			
ERIC L. MASCHOFF WORKMAN, NYDEGGER & SEELEY 1000 Eagle Gate Tower			EXAMINER	
			TRINH, MINH N	
60 East South Temple Salt Lake City, UT 84111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer	10/017,698		MILLER, ROBERT STEVEN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
TI MANUAL DATE A Missississississississississississississ	Minh Trinh		3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 J</u>	<u>June 2002</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	Ex parte qui	aylo, 1000 0.5. 11, 4	00 0.0. 210.			
4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-46 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-26 and 40-46, drawn to a integrated component mounting system, classified in class 29, subclass 739.
- Claims 27-39, drawn to X-ray tube device, classified in class 378, subclass 144.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the apparatus as claimed in Group I, is not an obvious apparatus for making the product II and the apparatus can be used for making a different electronic assembly other than the X-ray tube as claimed in Group II such as wherein a component being pressure welded to the shaft without the use of a threaded nut thereof, etc.

Because these inventions are <u>distinct for the reasons given above</u> and have acquired a <u>separate status in the art as shown by their different classification</u>, and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is <u>proper</u>.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

2. **If applicant elects Group I** (namely claims 1-26 and 40-46) of the invention further restrict to one of the following patentably distinct species:

Species 1A- drawn to a first embodiment, readable figs. 1-3 and 8.

- 1B- drawn to a second embodiment, readable on Fig. 4.
- 1C- drawn to a third embodiment, readable on Fig. 5.
- **1D** drawn to a fourth embodiment, Fig. 6.
- **1E** drawn to a fifth embodiment, Fig. 7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect <u>a single</u> disclosed species for <u>prosecution on the merits</u> to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should <u>applicant traverse</u> on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant <u>should submit evidence</u> or identify such evidence now of record

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/017,698

Art Unit: 3729

showing the species to be obvious variants or <u>clearly admit on the record</u> that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. A telephone call was made to Eric L. Maschoff on 9/24/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the species to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-2887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Examiner Group 3729

Mt 9/24/2003